



Regular Council

Agenda

Leduc County Centre, Nisku, AB
Tuesday, December 14, 2021

1. **Order - 1:00 p.m.**
2. **Adoption of agenda**
3. **Adoption of previous minutes**
 - November 9, 2021 Regular Council meeting ✓
 - Special Council meeting (2022) budget ✓
4. **1:00 p.m. Presentations**
 - a) Public
 - b) Service awards
 - 5 years*
 - Michelle Neis, Family Resource Support Specialist
 - 10 years*
 - John Woods, Heavy Equipment Operator
 - Fraser Butler, Safety Codes Officer - Building
5. **1:15 p.m. Department reports / recommendations**
 - a) Planning and Development
 - i) 1:15 p.m. Public Hearing - Bylaw (2nd & 3rd readings) - Rescind ✓ L. Johnson
 - Bylaw No. 06-18 Intermunicipal Development Plan with Camrose County
 - ii) City of Leduc/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan (IDP) - City of Leduc's Notice to Repeal IDP and terminate IDP Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) ✓ L. Johnson
 - b) 1:45 p.m. Enforcement Services
 - i) Premium Outlet Mall at Edmonton International Airport, Black Friday and Christmas Boxing Day Enhanced Policing Application ✓ C. Nelson
 - ii) Alberta Provincial Police Service Transition Study ✓ C. Nelson
 - iii) Police And Crisis Team (P.A.C.T.) Unit Regional Support Project ✓ C. Nelson
 - c) 2:00 p.m. Community Services
 - i) Community recreation grant funding - 2021 ✓ D. Ohnysty
 - o Regional recreation grants
 - o Arts and cultural grants
 - o Community hall unallocated funds distribution
 - ii) Alberta community partnership grant submission ✓ D. Ohnysty

✓ Attachment provided

-
- d) 2:15 p.m. Road Operations
- i) Bylaw - Repeal Vehicle Axle Weight Committee Bylaw No. 62-07 ✓ G. Broadbent
- e) 2:20 p.m. Engineering
- i) City of Beaumont request to purchase road right-of-way ✓ D. Mryglod
- f) 2:30 p.m. County Manager's office
- i) Land Disposal - Plan 578CL, Block 2, Lot 19 ✓ R. Thomas
- ii) City of Leduc letter, Nov. 24, 2021 - Emergency Services Opportunity ✓ R. Thomas
- iii) Alternate Advertising Bylaw ✓ R. Klimosko
6. 2:45 p.m. In-Camera (in accordance with Freedom of Information & Protection of Privacy Act)
- a) 2:45 p.m. County Manager's office
- i) Appointment of public members to Leduc County boards and committees - *Section 19, FOIP* ✓ D. Coleman
- ii) Personnel Matter - *Section 19, FOIP* D. Coleman
7. **Business Arising from In-Camera session**
- a) Appointment of public members at large to Leduc County boards and committees ✓ D. Coleman
8. **Adjournment**



Regular Council Meeting

Minutes

Council Chamber, Leduc County Centre, Nisku, AB

Tuesday, December 14, 2021

Order and roll call

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m., Tuesday, December 14, 2021 by Mayor Tanni Doblanko as Chair and Council members Rick Smith, Kelly-Lynn Lewis, Kelly Vandenberghe, Larry Wanchuk, Glenn Belozar and Ray Scobie present.

Other attendees

- Duane Coleman, County Manager
- Rick Thomas, Deputy County Manager
- Renee Klimosko, General Manager - Financial and Corporate Services
- Lynn White, Executive Assistant / Recording Secretary
- Grant Bain, Director - Planning and Development
- Laurie Johnson, Regional Senior Planner - Regional and Long Range Planning (*via Zoom*)
- Kent Pudlowski, Director - Corporate Services

Agenda adoption

277-21 Councillor Wanchuk -- that the agenda for the December 14, 2021 Regular County Council meeting be adopted as amended.

Carried Unanimously

Previous Minutes - November 9, 2021 regular meeting

278-21 Councillor Lewis -- that the November 9, 2021 regular Council meeting minutes be adopted as circulated.

Carried Unanimously

Previous Minutes - Special Council (2022) Budget

279-21 Councillor Smith -- that the Special Council meeting minutes (2022 budget), be adopted as circulated.

Carried Unanimously

Public Presentations

There was no one in attendance to make a public presentation.

Staff Member Ohnysty

Director of Community Services Dean Ohnysty entered the council chamber at 1:02 p.m.

Community Recreation Grant funding - 2021

Director of Community Services Dean Ohnysty provided a report on the 2021 Recreation and Cultural grant funding allocations.

Staff Member Evans

Manager of Long Range Planning Jordan Evans entered the council chamber at 1:04 p.m.

20

Staff Member Butler

Safety Codes Officer - Building Fraser Butler entered the council chamber at 1:06 p.m.

280-21 Councillor Smith -- that Leduc Council approve the 2021 recreation and cultural grant funding allocations as follows:

Regional Recreation Grants

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Cloverlawn Community Centre | \$2,000 |
| Looma Good Deed Association | \$1,250 |
| No Where to Run Run (Calmar Elementary Parent Council) | \$1,000 |
| Rolly View Community Association | \$1,000 |
| Rundles Mission | \$2,200 |
| Sandholm Pigeon Lake Drifters Society | \$1,700 |
| Strawberry District Society | \$2,000 |
| Sunnybrook Community Association | \$1,500 |
| Telford Community Club | \$3,000 |
| Thorsby Minor Baseball (Thorsby Bandits Baseball) | \$1,500 |
| Thorsby Minor Hockey Association | \$1,500 |
| Warburg Skating Club (facilitator fees, etc.) | \$1,500 |
| Warburg Skating Club (host Warburg Skating Carnival) | \$1,500 |
| Warburg Pioneer Ag Society (Winter Light-up event) | \$1,000 |
| Warburg Pioneer Ag Society (Heritage Days, June 2022) | \$2,000 |
| Wizard Lake Watershed & Lake Stewardship Association | <u>\$ 700</u> |
| | \$25,350 |

Arts and Cultural Grants

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Alberta Zirka Ukrainian Performance Association | \$500 |
| Cloverlawn Community Centre | \$1,000 |
| East Vistas Community Association | \$1,500 |
| Leduc Arts Foundry | \$1,000 |
| Looma Good Deed Association | \$1,000 |
| Rolly View 4-H Dairy Club | \$1,000 |
| Rolly View Community Association | \$1,800 |
| Sunnybrook Community Association | \$1,500 |
| Telford Community Club | \$1,000 |
| Thorsby & District Cultural & Historical Society | \$1,500 |
| Thorsby Municipal Library | \$700 |
| Wizard Lake Watershed & Lake Stewardship Association | <u>\$2,500</u> |
| | \$15,000 |

Community Hall Unallocated Funds Distribution

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Glen Park Community Association | \$332 |
| Telford Community Club | \$332 |
| Willow Creek Community Association | \$332 |
| Wilton Park Community Association | \$332 |
| Cloverlawn Community Centre | \$332 |
| Looma Good Deed Association | \$332 |
| Rolly View Community Association | \$332 |

210

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Centre Lodge Community Centre | \$332 |
| Morrowdale Community Centre | \$332 |
| Sandholm Pigeon Lake Drifters Society | \$332 |
| Strawberry District Society | \$332 |
| Telfordville Community Centre | \$332 |
| Genesee Agricultural Society | \$332 |
| Sunnybrook Athletic Association | <u>\$332</u> |
| | \$4,648 |

Carried Unanimously

Alberta Community Partnership Grant Submission

Director of Community Services Dean Ohnysty provided a report regarding the Alberta Community Partnership Grant, highlighting the following:

- In 2022, Leduc County intends to partner with the Village of Warburg and Town of Thorsby to develop a recreation and parks visioning document that will define future direction and service levels for each community over the next several years.
- Through application approval by the Alberta Community Partnership, a consultant will be selected that will lead this initiative throughout 2022.
- Documents will be developed that will assist Leduc County in providing services within a shared vision and identified community need.

281-21 Mayor Doblanko -- that Leduc County Council support an Alberta Community Partnership grant submission towards the development of a recreation visioning document with the Village of Warburg and Town of Thorsby.

Carried Unanimously

282-21 Mayor Doblanko -- that Leduc County Council support a letter to MLA Mark Smith encouraging support for this project and provincial grant assistance.

Carried Unanimously

Recognition - long service award

Fraser Butler, Safety Codes Officer - Building was recognized for 10 years of service.

Staff members Butler and Ohnysty

Staff members Butler and Ohnysty exited the council chamber at 1:18 p.m.

Public Hearing - Bylaw No. 17-21 to Rescind Bylaw 06-18 Camrose County/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan

Mayor Doblanko called the public hearing to order at 1:19 p.m. with respect to Leduc County Bylaw No. 17-21 to Rescind Bylaw 06-18 Camrose County/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan.

Mayor Doblanko called upon administration staff to provide background information.

Regional Senior Planner - Regional and Long Range Planning Laurie Johnson and Manager of Long Range Planning Jordan Evans provided a staff report with respect to Bylaw No. 17-21 to rescind Bylaw No. 06-18 Camrose County/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan (IDP), highlighting the following:

- 1) Section 63(1) of the Municipal Government Act states “subject to subsections (2) and (3), 2 or more councils of municipalities that have common boundaries and that are not members of a growth region as defined in section 708.01 must, be each passing a bylaw in accordance with this Part or in accordance with section 12 and 692, adopt an intermunicipal development plan to include those areas of land lying within the boundaries of the municipalities as they consider necessary”.
- 2) Section 631(2) of the Municipal Government Act states “subsection (1) does not require municipalities to adopt an intermunicipal development plan with each other if they agree that they do not require one, but any of the municipalities may revoke its agreement at any time by giving written notice to the other or others, and where that notice is given the municipalities must comply with subsection (1) within one year from the date of the notice unless an exemption is ordered under subsection (3)”.
- 3) Section 192(2) of the Municipal Government Act states an amendment or repeal must be made in the same way as the original bylaw and is subject to the same consents or conditions or advertising requirements that apply to the passing of the original bylaw, unless this or any other enactment provides otherwise.
- 4) Section 9.0.0.11 of the Municipal Development Plan states “The County will prepare Intermunicipal Collaboration Frameworks and Intermunicipal Development Plans with the neighbouring municipalities that are not members of the Edmonton Metropolitan Region in accordance with the Municipal Government Act”.
- 5) The Camrose County/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan was prepared in 2018 to comply with the Municipal Government Act (MGA). Section 631(2) of the MGA no longer requires municipalities that have common boundaries and are not members of a growth region to adopt an IDP with each other if they agree that they do not require one. Camrose County and Leduc County agree that an IDP is not required to manage growth along the shared municipal boundary or to support collaborative planning. Camrose County and Leduc County agree the Municipal Development Plans of the respective municipalities will fulfill the core functions and benefits of an IDP.
- 6) On November 9, 2021 Councils for Leduc County and Camrose County gave first reading to their respective Bylaws to rescind the Camrose County/Leduc County IDP. Both municipalities scheduled a public hearing on December 14, 2021 to consider written and oral submissions from the public. Pending public input, both Councils will consider 2nd and 3rd reading of their respective Bylaws to rescind the Camrose County/Leduc County IDP effective December 31, 2021.
- 7) The public hearing for Leduc County Bylaw 17-21 proposing to rescind the Camrose County/Leduc County IDP was advertised in the November 26, 2021 and December 3, 2021 issues of the *Leduc Rep* in accordance with the Municipal Government Act (MGA). Bylaw 17-21 proposing to rescind the Camrose County/Leduc County IDP was made available on the County’s website and circulated internally as well as to external agencies and stakeholders.
- 8) Administration recommends, pending public input at this public hearing, that County give 2nd and 3rd reading to Bylaw 17-21 to rescind Bylaw 06-18, the Camrose County/Leduc County IDP.
- 9) The repeal of the Camrose County/Leduc County IDP is exempt from referral to the Edmonton Metropolitan Region Board (EMRB) as it does not meet the criteria in Section 4.0 of the Regional Evaluation Framework (REF) that requires referral to the EMRB.

Mayor Doblanko asked administration if they had any further information.

Laurie Johnson indicated that only one response was received which was from Strathcona County and they had no concerns.

Mayor Doblanko asked if there was any other correspondence received, and there was none.

Mayor Doblanko asked if Council members had any questions for administration, and there were none.

Mayor Doblanko asked if there was anyone in attendance to speak to the proposed bylaw, and there was no one.

Mayor Doblanko asked if there were any further questions by Council members, and there were none.

Conclude Public Hearing

Mayor Doblanko concluded the public hearing at 1:23 p.m.

Bylaw No. 17-21 - Rescind Bylaw 06-18 Camrose County/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan

283-21 Councillor Vandenberghe -- that Bylaw 17-21 be given second reading.

Carried Unanimously

284-21 Councillor Lewis -- that Bylaw 17-21 be third and final reading.

Carried Unanimously

City of Leduc / Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan (IDP) - City of Leduc's Notice to Repeal IDP and Terminate IDP Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

Regional Senior Planner - Regional and Long Range Planning Laurie Johnson and Manager of Long Range Planning Jordan Evans provided a report regarding the City of Leduc's Notice to Repeal the City of Leduc/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan (IDP) and terminate the IDP Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), highlighting the following:

- 1) Section 631(7) of the Municipal Government Act (MGA) stipulates that two or more councils of municipalities that are not otherwise required to adopt an intermunicipal development plan under subsection (1) may, be each passing a bylaw in accordance with this Part or in accordance with Section 12 and 692, adopt an intermunicipal development plan to include those areas of land lying within the boundaries of the municipalities as they consider necessary.
- 2) Section 192(2) of the Municipal Government Act states an amendment or repeal must be made in the same way as the original bylaw and is subject to the same consents or conditions or advertising requirements that apply to the passing of the original bylaw, unless this or any other enactment provides otherwise.
- 3) Section 9.0.0.11 of the Municipal Development Plan states "The County will prepare Intermunicipal Collaboration Frameworks and Intermunicipal Development Plans with the neighbouring municipalities that are not members of the Edmonton Metropolitan Region in accordance with the Municipal Government Act".
- 4) On November 25, 2021 a letter was received from Mayor Young indicating that on November 8, 2021 City County voted in favour of rescinding the IDP and IDP Memorandum of Understanding. The IDP Memorandum of Understanding provides further clarity with respect to the interpretation and implementation of the IDP.
- 5) The rationale provided by the City for rescinding the IDP is as follows:

- Both the City and the County are members of the Edmonton Metropolitan Regional Board and are therefore not mandated under the Municipal Government Act to have a subregional approach to land use planning such as an IDP; and
 - With the evolution of regional frameworks and tools (Edmonton Metropolitan Regional Growth Plan and the Regional Agricultural Master Plan to name a few) the City feels there are sufficient legislative and non-legislate land use planning tools in place to collaboratively work through any concerns that may arise.
- 6) The City has requested that the respective administrations work together to arrange a joint council meeting within 60 days of their notification to satisfy Section 6.3.5 of the City of Leduc/Leduc County IDP.

Staff Member Nelson

Director of Enforcement Services Clarence Nelson entered the council chamber at 1:25 p.m.

- 7) Section 6.3.5 of the City of Leduc/Leduc County IDP outlines the repeal process:
- If one or both municipalities deem the IDP no longer effective, both municipalities will be required to pass bylaws to repeal the Intermunicipal Development Plan. The procedure for passage of the repealing bylaws will be in accordance with the provisions of the MGA.
 - In addition, the following procedures should be followed prior to the final actions of repealing the bylaw:
 - One municipality will give six (6) months written notice to the other municipality, along with reasons of the intention to repeal the IDP.
 - Subsequent to the initial notice proposing repeal of the IDP, the two municipalities may engage in attempts at the mediated resolution of issues.
 - Within sixty (60) days of the date of the notice provided by the initiating municipality, a meeting of the two Councils shall be held to review the concerns raised.
 - Following the joint Council meeting, the municipality filing the notice may either withdraw its notice by providing a letter in writing to the other municipality, or proceed to give first reading to a bylaw to repeal the IDP.
 - In the event that first reading is given to a bylaw to repeal the IDP, a process of mediation shall be engaged in an effort to resolve the matter prior to the County considering second reading of the bylaw to repeal the IDP.
 - If mediation is not successful within six (6) months of the initial written notice to repeal the IDP, then both municipalities may proceed to pass bylaws to repeal the IDP in accordance with the MGA.
 - The IDP will be considered repealed upon one municipality passing the necessary bylaw.
 - In the event that the IDP is repealed, the two municipalities shall amend their Municipal Development Plans to address intermunicipal issues in accordance with the MGA.
 - In the event that the required amendments, in the opinion of the neighbouring municipality, have or may have a detrimental effect on it, the matter may be appealed to the Land and Property Rights Tribunal in accordance with the provisions of the MGA.

Staff members Ohnysty and Neis

Director of Community Services Dean Ohnysty and Family Resource Support Specialist Michelle Neis entered the council chamber at 1:27 p.m.

- 8) County administration agrees the City and the County are not mandated under the MGA to have an IDP. However, the IDP fosters collaboration on planning matters and issues of mutual interest and therefore it is in the best interest of both the City and the County to have an IDP.
- 9) County administration does not agree with the City's perception that there are sufficient legislative and non-legislative land use planning tools such as the Edmonton Metropolitan Region Growth Plan (EMRGP) in place to collaboratively work through any concerns that may arise. The EMRGP does not fulfill the functions of an IDP and is vastly different in terms of focus, function and content.
- 10) The EMRGP focuses on a geographic area that encompasses 13 rural and urban municipalities. The EMRGP provides a policy framework for growth of the region. It identified six policy areas (economic competitiveness, natural living systems, communities & housing, integration of land use and infrastructure, transportation systems, and agriculture) and three policy tiers (the rural area, metropolitan area, and metropolitan core) to respond to the urban and rural context of the region. These tiers are not future growth areas, but rather they provide policies that are to be applied should growth occur in these areas.
- 11) In contrast, the IDP focuses on a very limited geographic area, affecting areas of the City and County only, that are of joint interest to the City and County. The IDP promotes cooperative and coordinated planning to ensure seamless transportation and infrastructure connections and complimentary development along the shared boundary of the city and county without unnecessary costs or negative impacts on either municipality. In addition, the IDP reinforces and protects each municipality's development philosophies and goals (smart growth, vibrant communities, environmental stewardship, and economic development, governance); identifies potential economic pursuits as well as joint ventures for infrastructure and service sharing to promote efficient planning and potential delivery of services; identifies future growth areas and areas for potential annexation in the future; sets out the principles and criteria for annexation proposals; and lays out processes to resolve conflicts that may arise. All matters of an intermunicipal nature that are not addressed by the EMRGP or other non-legislative planning tool.
- 12) For the aforementioned reasons, County administration recommends Council, in accordance with Section 6.3.5 of the City of Leduc/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan, agree to scheduling a joint council meeting within 60 days of the date the notice to repeal the IDP was received from the City of Leduc (November 25, 2021). Further, that Council request the City of Leduc reconsider their notice to repeal the IDP and terminate the IDP Memorandum of Understanding.

285-21 Mayor Doblanko -- that Leduc County Council, in accordance with Section 6.3.5 of the City of Leduc/Leduc County Intermunicipal Development Plan, agree to scheduling a joint council meeting within 60 days of the date the notice to repeal the IDP was received from the City of Leduc (November 25, 2021); and further, that Council request the City of Leduc reconsider their notice to repeal the IDP and terminate the IDP Memorandum of Understanding.

Carried Unanimously

Staff members Evans and Johnson

Staff members Evans exited the council chamber and staff member Johnson exited the Zoom connection at 1:42 p.m.

20

Recognition - long service award

Michelle Neis, Family Resource Support Specialist was recognized for 5 years of service.

Staff members Ohnysty, Neis and Pudlowski

Staff members Ohnysty, Neis and Pudlowski exited the council chamber at 1:43 p.m.

Premium Outlet Mall at EIA, Black Friday and Christmas Boxing Day Enhanced Policing Application

Director of Enforcement Services Clarence Nelson provided a recommendation for an application to Alberta Justice and Solicitor General, for short term RCMP Enhanced Policing Services during Black Friday, November 26, 2021 and Christmas Boxing Day, December 26, 2021 events at the Premium Outlet Collection Mall at EIA.

286-21 Councillor Vandenberghe -- that Leduc County Council support administration's application to Alberta Justice and Solicitor General, for short term RCMP Enhanced Policing Services during Black Friday, November 26, 2021 and Christmas Boxing Day, December 26, 2021 events at the Premium Outlet Collection Mall at EIA.

Carried Unanimously

Alberta Provincial Police Service Transition Study

Director of Enforcement Services Clarence Nelson provided a report on the Alberta Provincial Police Service Transition Study, highlighting the following:

- The Government of Alberta is engaging with municipalities on concepts presented in the *Alberta Provincial Police Service Transition Study* prepared by PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC). The department of Justice and Solicitor General will be facilitating in-person and virtual engagement sessions with municipalities between January and March 2022. In-person sessions will be held at many locations across Alberta. The Leduc session is scheduled on February 28, 2022. These sessions are open to municipal elected representatives, municipal employees and organizations representing municipalities.
- Engagement sessions will focus on key concepts presented in the transition study with the intent to refine the model presented by PwC and inform future decisions on an Alberta Provincial Police Service.

287-21 Councillor Lewis -- that Leduc County Council acknowledges administration's plans to attend the Alberta Provincial Police Service Transition Study information session on February 28, 2022; directs administration to provide a session date in the council calendar; and further, receives the report as information.

Carried Unanimously

Police And Crisis Team (P.A.C.T.) Unit Regional Support Project

Director of Enforcement Services Clarence Nelson provided a report on the Police and Crisis Team (P.A.C.T.) Unit Regional Support Project, highlighting the following:

- Over the past several years, rural Alberta communities have seen a substantial crime increase, intensifying demands on the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), stretching police resources to their limits. As law enforcement addresses burgeoning illicit activity, a new challenge has come to the forefront, further decreasing police bandwidth. That challenge being a rural mental health crisis that has only worsened since COVID-19. Emerging mental health issues are detracting from local

RCMP policing duties as officers are being forced to respond and assess mental health crises (the latter of which they are not necessarily qualified for), and transport patients to an approved mental health facility constituting a four to five hour round trip. RCMP are spending more time transporting mental health patients and less time patrolling our communities. This is not conducive to mitigating rural crime.

- Impacted stakeholders within the region called upon the Government of Alberta and Alberta Health Services to establish a Police and Crisis Team (P.A.C.T.) to support the aforementioned detachments. Approximately 14 P.A.C.T. units are currently operating in Alberta. A P.A.C.T. team is comprised of an RCMP officer and an AHS health professional. Together, they tend to mental health crisis and attempt to *stabilize the individual within the community*. This saves RCMP unnecessary hospital transport time and relieves burdens on emergency rooms. It also provides RCMP with a mental health professional's opinion on how best to classify a mental health call. Evidence suggests that P.A.C.T. teams save law enforcement officials time and improve health outcomes.

Staff Member Mryglod

Director of Engineering and Utilities Des Mryglod entered the council chamber at 1:53 p.m.

- The RCMP have provided briefing with additional details and a proposed model for P.A.C.T. implementation. The RCMP request ongoing dialogue to catalyze action between the Government of Alberta and Alberta Health Services representatives to install a local P.A.C.T. team until and identify other mechanisms that could address this issue in the interim.

288-21 Councillor Scobie -- that Leduc County Council support the RCMP's request to the Alberta Minister of Health, to establish a Police And Crisis Team (P.A.C.T.) unit in the region, to assist with the growing need for community mental health related services.

Carried Unanimously

Staff member Nelson

Staff member Nelson exited the council chamber at 1:57 p.m.

Bylaw - Repeal Vehicle Axle Weight Committee Bylaw No. 62-07

Director of Engineering and Utilities Des Mryglod reported that there is an opportunity to gain operational efficiencies by repealing the bylaw that forms the Vehicle Axle Weight Committee and make this an administrative responsibility to manage road ban orders and weight restrictions.

Bylaw No. 21-21 - Repeal Vehicle Axle Weight Committee Bylaw No. 62-07

289-21 Councillor Vandenberghe -- that Bylaw No. 21-21 be given first reading to repeal Bylaw No. 62-07 Vehicle Axle Weight Committee.

Carried Unanimously

290-21 Councillor Smith -- that Bylaw No. 21-21 be given second reading.

Carried Unanimously

291-21 Councillor Wanchuk -- that Bylaw No. 21-21 be given third reading with the unanimous consent of the council members present.

Carried Unanimously

292-21 Councillor Belozor - that Bylaw No. 21-21 be given third reading.

Carried Unanimously

City of Beaumont Request to Purchase Road Right-of-Way

Director of Engineering and Utilities Des Mryglod reported that the City of Beaumont submitted a request dated November 9, 2021 to purchase land from a Leduc County resident located NW¼ 25-50-24-W4 for the purpose of road widening.

Staff member Pudlowski

Director - Corporate Services Kent Pudlowski entered the council chamber at 2:03 p.m.

293-21 Councillor Lewis -- that Leduc County Council grant permission to the City of Beaumont to acquire land from a Leduc County resident located at NW¼ 25-50-24-W4 for the purpose of road widening.

Carried Unanimously

Land Disposal - Plan 578CL, Block 2, Lot 19

Deputy County Manager Rick Thomas reported that as per Motion 102-21, administration advertised for the disposal of land located at Plan 578CL, Block 2, Lot 19 (New Sarepta). As per the advertisement, offers were being accepted up to December 3, 2021. No sales agreements were received by the closing date. Administration is recommending that the for sale signs remain on the property, but no further action be undertaken to sell the property at this time.

Staff member Mryglod

Staff member Mryglod exited the council chamber at 2:05 p.m.

294-21 Councillor Belozor -- that Leduc County Council receive the report regarding Land Disposal - Plan 578CL, Block 2, Lot 19 as information.

Carried Unanimously

City of Leduc letter, November 24, 2021 - Emergency Services Opportunity

Deputy County Manager Rick Thomas reported that a letter dated November 24, 2021 was received from the City of Leduc requesting that Leduc County enter to discussions regarding an Emergency Services Opportunity by December 15, 2021. The request is specific to collaborate and cost-share on a fire hall. Mr. Thomas provided background information, highlighting the following:

- Leduc County and the City of Leduc utilized a previous grant to fund a 'Standards of Cover & Station Location Study'. Darkhorse Analytics completed the study in June of 2021.
- A presentation by Darkhorse was provided to the June 22, 2021 Leduc County Council Workshop in an "In-Camera session" and on July 5, 2021 to the City of Leduc in an "In-Camera session".
- Key findings and recommendations for Leduc County:
 - The most significant for station location and urgency is that an "ideal next station would be built along Airport Road near the QE2. It would be a career station serving South Nisku, the EIA and North Leduc Business Park. This would require coordination among the two jurisdictions and EIA." "If cooperation is not feasible or becomes unsustainable, then the County should consider a new EIA Groundside station, closer to the highway to provide expected levels of service for EIA and Nisku."
- Key findings and recommendations for the City of Leduc:
 - The City's service to move to a four firefighter per crew model instead of the current three and that "Leduc Fire Service being preparing for an additional station in the North Leduc Industrial

Park. The location will be dependent on what level of collaboration is possible in the south Nisku/EIA/North Leduc nexus.”

- Key findings and recommendations for Regional/Collaboration:
 - “That the City and County collaborate on a career station outside of the EIA terminal along Airport Road. The ideal location of this station is near the boundary between all three jurisdictions.”
- Leduc County is in the process of initiating steps towards a career station, by introducing weekday/daytime service from the South Nisku Fire Station, located at the Leduc County Community and Operations Centre. Long-range plans for Leduc County’s future fire services has been shared with City of Leduc’s staff.

295-21 Mayor Doblanko -- that Leduc County Council directs administration to respond to the City of Leduc’s letter regarding an Emergency Services Opportunity, utilizing principles outlined in the report, as follows:

- 1) Leduc County is supportive of collaboration for a future fire hall, as identified in the Standards of Cover & Station Location Study - June 2021. A future fire hall needs to be located appropriately to serve the three jurisdictions (County, City and EIA) for regional effectiveness. The County is concerned that the City’s plan to build a third fire hall is solely focused to optimize the City of Leduc’s coverage rather than equally beneficial for all three jurisdictions.
- 2) Leduc County has initiated steps to provide a career fire service in a temporary South Nisku location and is working with EIA for future enhancements and utilization of municipal investments.
- 3) Leduc County staff and City of Leduc staff have met on numerous occasions to discuss a regional approach; the work that both administration performed in regards to the Standards of Cover & Station Location Study provided an open discussion for fire services and future station locations, which will benefit the region as a whole. Further administrative discussions need to continue.
- 4) Leduc County operates a regional borderless fire service model as we work collaboratively, with other urban and rural municipalities as well as EIA, providing effective regional service. Collaborating with the City of Leduc on future fire halls will benefit our region but specific locations need to consider all jurisdictions including EIA.

Carried Unanimously

Bylaw No. 22-21 (1st Reading) Alternate Advertising Bylaw

General Manager - Corporate and Financial Services Renee Klimosko presented the proposed Alternate Advertising Bylaw for Council’s review.

In-Camera session

296-21 Councillor Smith - that Leduc County Council meet in In-Camera in accordance with Section 24 *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (F.O.I.P.)* to discuss the Alternate Advertising Bylaw.

Carried Unanimously

The in-camera session commenced at 2:24 p.m.

Revert to regular council open session

297-21 Councillor Smith -- that the In-Camera session revert to the Regular Council open session.

Carried Unanimously

The In-Camera session reverted to the open session of Regular Council at 2:28 p.m.

Bylaw No. 22-21 (1st Reading) Alternate Advertising Bylaw

298-21 Councillor Vandenberghe -- that Bylaw No. 22-21 be given first reading for the establishment of an Alternate Advertising bylaw.

Carried Unanimously

In-Camera session

299-21 Councillor Wanchuk -- that Leduc County Council meet in In-Camera in accordance with Section 19 *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (F.O.I.P.) to discuss the appointments of public members to Leduc County Boards and Committees as well as a personnel matter.

Carried Unanimously

The in-camera session commenced at 2:30 p.m.

Recess

The meeting recessed at 2:30 p.m. and reconvened at 2:33 p.m. with Mayor Doblanko as Chair and Council members Rick Smith, Kelly-Lynn Lewis, Kelly Vandenberghe, Larry Wanchuk, Glenn Belozor and Ray Scobie present.

Other attendees

- Duane Coleman, County Manager
- Rick Thomas, Deputy County Manager
- Renee Klimosko, General Manager - Financial and Corporate Services
- Grant Bain, Director - Planning and Development
- Lynn White, Executive Assistant / Recording Secretary
- Kent Pudlowski, Director - Corporate Services

Revert to regular council open session

300-21 Councillor Lewis -- that the In-Camera session revert to the Regular Council open session.

Carried Unanimously

The In-Camera session reverted to the open session of Regular Council at 2:53 p.m.

Appointment of Public Members to Leduc County Boards and Committees

301-21 Councillor Vandenberghe -- that the following public members at large be appointed to Leduc County boards and committees:

1. Family and Community Support Services Advisory Committee (term expiring Dec. 31, 2023)
- Erin Williams
2. Leduc County Library Board (term expiring Dec. 31, 2024)
-Missy Chehayeb
3. Parks and Recreation Advisory Board (term expiring Dec. 31, 2023)
Beaumont region -Mary-Ann McDonald
Calmar region - Chris Hahn
New Sarepta region - Elizabeth Leeb

20

4. Intermunicipal Subdivision and Development Appeal Board (term expiring Dec. 31, 2025)
- Dalpreet Viridi

and further, the following be re-advertised:

Leduc County Library Board - one member expiring Dec. 31, 2024

Parks & Recreation Advisory Board -

Thorsby Regional Board - one member expiring Dec. 31, 2023

Leduc Regional Board - one member expiring Dec. 31, 2023

Warburg Regional Board - one member expiring Dec. 31, 2023

Edmonton International Airport (EIA) Noise Advisory - one member expiring Dec. 31, 2023


Carried Unanimously

Adjournment


302-21 Councillor Wanchuk -- that the regular County Council meeting be adjourned.

Carried Unanimously

The regular County Council meeting adjourned at 2:57 p.m.



Mayor



County Manager